

	# Cu Curagana
	Copy No. # R-/
	3 January 1967
	MEMORANDUM FOR:  SUBJECT : Report on Meeting with
	1. On 29 December I met with  of DIA to discuss a draft reply by Dr. Hornig to Mr. Helms' and
	Mr. Vance's responses of early December to Hornig's initial proposal for a civilian review of TKH material. reply is an attempt to bridge the gap between our answer which sought to involve NPIC, at least in the initial stages, and the DIA-Vance answer which offered and the Army Engineers. A text of the draft
·	Hornig reply is attached. There follows below explanations and comments keyed by number to points in the draft text:  (1) General background: The initial purpose of the civilian review is to enable certain cleared people in Interior. Agriculture
· .	Commerce, NASA and AID to examine KH photography to determine whether or not this photography would be useful for the purposes of their respective organizations. They are to make a general case for the economical use of this photography for peaceful

S

of their respective organizations. They are to make a general case for the economical use of this photography for peaceful purposes. They are to prepare a report on their findings on the basis of which a decision will be made by the Government (NASA and BOB?) as to whether or not the US should develop a "white", overt photo-satellite program for civilian purposes. While it is generally agreed that NASA would have the responsibility for launching such satellites (in the role of NRO), there is wide difference of opinion as to who should exploit that photography (in the role of NPIC). NASA obviously wants it but many believe US Geological Survey and Interior (the Aeros Project) might be better suited, and still others feel the Engineers and AMS—who have considerable experience—should be the exploiters. In any case, decision on this point is down the road; the immediate problem is how to handle the civilian "feasibility" review.

1121 .. .

TO STORT

TOP SECTE

	- 3 -
•	(4) I have reserved on the KH-7 photography
	although I believe that given the kind of security controls we and DIA are free to exercise there would be little risk
•	involved. The big problem of course is that once the
	civilian agencies discover what they can see with 7
	films they will exert great pressure for the inclusion of these materials in whatever study they make.
	(5) I see no problem with this until the time comes to
	release the material. And then we will be confronted with
	all the doubts and arguments that have plagued the NSAN 156
	deliberations. In any event, the responsibility at this point is ours and we could perhaps force the excision of whatever
	we see security problems with. Naturally, this will be
•	difficult at best since it will effect some of their conclusions.
	Perhaps the answer lies in not letting them have 7 in the
	first place (see item 4 above).
	(6) The concept of sponsor, admitted, was a
	bureaucratic convenience and the job description for the
	sponsor in this paragraph is quite arbitrary. The sponsor is intended as the interface between NPIC-DIA and the civilian
	agencies. While an NPIC designee could serve as the release
	point for materials (see 3 above), I do not believe NPIC would
	be interested in fulfilling other sponsor tasks. Accordingly,
	I would see the sponsor carrying out tasks a. and b. NPIC and
	DIA, and AMS too in some cases, should carry out c. although
	the sponsor might make the arrangements as in d. In any case,
	d. needs further definition. Again, it is up to us pretty much
	to choose between GIMRADA and for this role. The
	logic of GIMRADA's present functions argue strongly for it to serve as sponsor.
	(7) No problem here, and Art Lundahl has already offered
	a very extensive panorama of services to help this fledgeling
•	effort get off the ground.
	(8) It is a matter for further discussion how big an
	effort in terms of people NPIC and DIA will want to give over
	to us. In any event, it should be on a case-by-case rather
	than a day-to-day basis. At some point in this paragraph we
	should work in some language about the length of time we expect this initial civilian review to occupy.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/05 : CIA-RDP80T01137A000600010012-1

25X1

- 4 -

- 2. CIA and DIA will be asked by Hornig to advise the sponsor and civilian agencies on the form the report should take. Inasmuch as this is an effort to determine what overhead photography can do for a number of physical sciences, the three of us have generally agreed that the civilian team should attempt to come up with a resources inventory type of study for a single geographical area in Latin America—say Central America or Venezuela—Colombia. We have not talked much beyond this, but I will be seeking guidance from OBI, ORR and others on this point.
- 3. Sorry to be so wordy in this initial report, but for the sake of avoiding confusion in the future I feel that it is important that you all have a full understanding of these first talks. I will be canvassing you for your views in the near future. I must say that the whole undertaking contains the fullest potential for a fascinating bureaucratic hassle.

James J. Hitchcock
Chief
DDI/Collection Guidance Staff

Attachment

TOP SERVIT

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/05 : CIA-RDP80T01137A000600010012-1

## SECRET

DRAFT - DSS/eac 12-28-66 T-KH

MEMORANDUM FOR

Mr. Helms
Mr. Vance

I would like to thank you for your considered responses to my
memorandum of 7 November proposing a mechanism by which the civilian
agencies can review the usefulness of T-KH materials for non-intelligence
purposes. Based on the comments you have made, I would now like to
suggest a detailed set of guidelines which I believe will conform to your
wishes and provide a framework within which we can approach the civil
(1)
agencies.

I propose that our guidelines cover the following points and in the manner indicated:

- 1. The designation of the organization and facility which will provide space, viewing and measuring equipment and technical services

  such as photo reproduction, drafting, report reproduction, etc. I believe (2) that you both agree on the facility for this purpose. 25X1
- 2. The organizations to monitor and approve from a security standpoint the photography that the agency teams can review and the disposition of the resulting information. Since the agency teams, using as their agent, will have to request the film from both CIA

25X1

## SECRET

- 2 -

and DOD depositories, I would propose that DIA and NPIC monitor all

(3)

these requests and approve each on a case by case basis. As a

general rule, I hope we can agree now that these teams can review

U-2, Daff, KH 4, KH 7 photography of non-hostile areas;

however, I assume that either NPIC or DIA would make whatever

(4)

exceptions are appropriate as the situation arises. In addition,

I believe we should agree that all information, data and reports

resulting from this evaluation shall be classified T-KH until

(5)

specifically released from that classification by the DCI.

25X1

- 3. The organization to act as a CIA-DOD sponsor for the agency teams. I would envisage the sponsor assuming the following responsibilities:
  - a. Coordinating the team's administrative needs;
  - b. Arranging the details of financial reimbursement:
  - c. Organizing and acting as host for the initial indoctrination of the teams to the techniques of photo interpretation and for in-process seminars in which the teams can, on a fully classified basis, present their progress and results to interested people from the intelligence community, the civilian agencies and the Executive Offices.
  - d. Coordinating with NPIC and the DIA for their participation in the indoctrination and the seminars.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/08/05 : CIA-RDP80T01137A000600010012-1

SECRET
--------

- 3 -

I propose	that	GIMRADA,	who	is	the	custodian	of	the	1
contract,	be t	his spons	or.						

25X1

A. The mechansim by which the experience and expertise of NPIC and DIA can be fully exploited in support of the evaluation. In addition to providing for NPIC and DIA participation in the indoctrination and seminars, I would like to see a continuous interaction between the (7) agency teams and experts from the intelligence community. To insure this, I suggest that both NPIC and DIA assign individuals from their organizations who are expert in each of the technical areas of interest to the agency teams to work with these teams on a day to day basis, providing advice and guidance in the formulation of the teams' evaluation plan and in the interpretation of the data. Among the areas to be covered by this evaluation are geography, geology, hydrology, oceanography, agriculture and forestry.

If these proposals are agreeable to you, I will seek the participation of the civilian agencies on this basis.

DFH

 $\Diamond$